Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/598,716	THAMBAR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MARK MASHACK	3773
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) MARK MASHACK.	(3)	
(2) JOHN DANILUCK	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 February 2009.		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-48</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims $f \square$ was reached. $g \square$ was not reached. $h \square$ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant brough!</u> if to the attention that the examiner was pooling at an outdated self of claims without willing the first restriction. Examiner wrote a new restriction for the new sel of claims with a		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NITERVIEW, GENERAL SHEEP SECTION 1304. If a reply to his last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview equipments on reverse side or on attached sheet.		